Licking Valley Local School District

1379 Licking Valley Rd, Newark, OH 43055-9450 - Licking County





The District Report Card for the 2008-2009 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- · Continuous Improvement
- · Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2008-2009 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: David L. Hile (740) 763-3525









State Pe	rcentage of Students	s at and above the Pi	roficient Level
Indicators	Your District	Similar Districts*	State
Illulcators	2008-2009	2008-2009	2008-2009
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
1. Reading	86.9 % ✓	84.6 %	77.4 %
2. Mathematics	87.6 % ✓	87.8 %	81.3 %
4th Grade Achievement		quirement is 75 pe	
3. Reading	88.3 % 🗸	87.4 %	82 %
4. Mathematics	88.3 % 🗸	86.0 %	78.4 %
5. Writing	78.6 % ✓	86.8 %	84.4 %
5th Grade Achievement		quirement is 75 pe	
6. Reading	74.1 %	77.4 %	72 %
7. Mathematics	72.4 %	69.4 %	62.3 %
8. Science	79.4 % ✓	81.0 %	70.6 %
9. Social Studies	57.1 %	68.9 %	61.6 %
6th Grade Achievement	1	quirement is 75 pe	
10. Reading	84.0 % 🗸	87.4 %	81.3 %
11. Mathematics	84.3 % ✓	82.2 %	75.2 %
7th Grade Achievement		uirement is 75 pe	
12. Reading	82.0 % ✓	81.0 %	76.6 %
13. Mathematics	90.4 % 🗸	81.4 %	74.3 %
14. Writing 8th Grade Achievement	80.7 % ✓	82.3 %	80.5 %
		uirement is 75 per	
15. Reading 16. Mathematics	70.2 % 83.0 % √	74.4 %	72.4 %
17. Science	83.0 % ✓ 55.9 %	77.3 % 69.8 %	70.6 % 62.8 %
18. Social Studies	39.9 %	52.5 %	62.6 % 51.1 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)		quirement is 75 po	
19. Reading	85.5 % \square	87.1 %	84.5 %
20. Mathematics	89.6 % ✓	87.6 %	81.4 %
21. Writing	91.5 % ✓	91.6 %	89.7 %
22. Science	83.5 % ✓	83.0 %	76 %
23. Social Studies	87.2 % ✓	86.7 %	81.6 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)**		quirement is 85 pe	
24. Reading	95.6 % ✓	94.9 %	92.8 %
25. Mathematics	92.5 % ✓	93.1 %	88.4 %
26. Writing	95.0 % ✓	95.5 %	93.2 %
27. Science	92.5 % ✓	90.4 %	84.2 %
28. Social Studies	92.5 % ✓	92.4 %	88.6 %
Attendance Rate	00	quirement is 93 pe	

mago or otacom	o at ana abovo tho i i	Oliololit Eovol
Your District 2008-2009	Similar Districts* 2008-2009	State 2008-2009 /
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
86.9 % ✓	84.6 %	77.4 %
87.6 % ✓	87.8 %	81.3 %
	quirement is 75 pe	
88.3 % 🗸	, 87.4 %	82 %
88.3 % ✓	86.0 %	78.4 %
78.6 % ✓	86.8 %	84.4 %
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	/
74.1 %	77.4 %	72 %
72.4 %	69.4 %	62.3 %
79.4 % ✓	81.0 %	70.6 %
57.1 %	68.9 %	61.6 %
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	
84.0 % ✓	87.4 %	81.3 %
84.3 % ✓	82.2 %	75.2 %
The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
82.0 % ✓	81.0 %	76.6 %
90.4 % ✓	81.4 %	74.3 %
80.7 % ✓	82.3 %	80.5 %
The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
70.2 %	74.4 %	72.4 %
83.0 % 🗸	77.3 %	70.6 %
55.9 %	69.8 %	62.8 %
39.9 %	52.5 %	51.1 %
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
85.5 % 🗸	87.1 %	84.5 %
89.6 % 🗸	87.6 %	81.4 %
91.5 % 🗸	91.6 %	89.7 %
83.5 % ✓	83.0 %	76 %
87.2 % ✓	86.7 %	81.6 %
The state re	quirement is 85 pe	rcent
95.6 % 🗸	94.9 %	92.8 %
92.5 % 🗸	93.1 %	88.4 %
95.0 % 🗸	95.5 %	93.2 %
92.5 % 🗸	90.4 %	84.2 %
92.5 % 🗸	92.4 %	88.6 %
The state re	quirement is 93 pe	rcent
94.8 % 🗸	95.1 %	94.3 %
The state re	quirement is 90 pe	rcent

94.8 %

84.6 %

Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a
✓.

-- =Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

29. All Grades

30. District

2007-08 Graduation Rate


*Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors. **Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders


95.6 % ✓


Your District's Assessment Results Over Time





All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.
















State Indicators
The State Indicators are

based on state
assessments, as well
as on attendance and
graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment.

For the 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests indicators, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.





*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2008-2009 School Year

3-8 and 10 for a	vel Across Grades II Tested Subjects student enrolled in full academic year)	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points
	Untested	0.5	Χ	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	5.6	Χ	0.3	=	1.7
	Basic	15.9	Χ	0.6	=	9.5
	Proficient	35.0	Χ	1.0	=	35.0
	Accelerated	27.6	Χ	1.1	=	30.4
	Advanced	15.3	Χ	1.2	=	18.4

Your District's Performance Index

Performance

Index

The Performance Index reflects the achievement of every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index

is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2008-2009	2007-2008	2006-2007
95.0	96.1	96.2

Value-Added Measure

Grade 5

Overall Composite



Grade 4

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2008-2009 school year.

Grade 7

Grade 8

95.0

Reading



Grade 6

Mathematics



Your district's Value-Added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.



Above

Expected Growth

Expected Growth

Below

Expected Growth

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



Add	equate Yearly Progress			dur	intaged ader	' nic	Alask	3 Native		ajic	abilitis	s odí	ient.	
	des 3-8 and 10 Reading and Mathematics	All Stude	kcononi	neally Disadly	artada Grific Islander Black, I	on-Hispanic	in Indian Alask	Multi-Ra	white.	non-Hispanic Students	Limited Enr	A	YP Deter by Indi	mination icator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	P	Reading roficiency:	Not Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR		athematics roficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Pa	Reading articipation:	Met
Percen	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR		athematics articipation:	Met
Gr	aduation Rate*	Met										0	Graduation Rate:	Met
Att	endance Rate*	Met										A	ttendance Rate:	Met
AYI	P Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR		AYP etermination Your District:	Not Met

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

Legend

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor:
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics



non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

Federally Required Graduation Rate Information

American Indian/ Alaska Native Pa

Asian or Pacific Islander 0% Black, non-Hispanic 66.7% Econ. Disadvtgd 88%

Limited English
Proficient
0%
0%

Multi-Racial >95%

Students with Disabilities 90.3%

White, non-Hispanic >95%

The disaggregated graduation rates of your district are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP determination.

State and Federally Required District Information

Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading	7.1					6.5	3.6	25.7		3.5	12.4		4.1	8.8
Writing						3.8	1.5	16.9		2.8	5.6		2.3	4.9
Mathematics	14.3					4.3	2.3	18.9		3.3	6.8		2.9	6.0
Science Social Studies						3.5	2.0	11.4		1.5	7.0		2.7	4.1
						10.2	7.0	27.8		5.0	19.5		8.2	12.0
	_	Student	s Scorii	ng Basic	2									
Reading	42.9					12.1	10.6	24.3		8.6	19.7		10.8	13.9
Writing Mathematics	28.6					12.1 10.3	9.6 9.5	29.2 17.6		9.5 7.5	19.0 16.3		7.0 10.6	17.2 10.5
Science	20.0					24.0	9.5 20.8	44.3		7.5 17.2	37.3	 	25.0	23.7
Social Studies						29.3	28.2	36.7		26.4	35.1		30.9	28.2
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Proficient													
Reading	21.4					39.6	40.5	31.8		39.5	38.9		39.8	38.9
Writing						42.9	42.9	41.5		41.0	46.5		35.8	48.8
Mathematics	28.6					35.7	35.0	37.8		32.4	41.1		36.4	34.5
Science						31.5	33.2	22.8		34.1	27.0		35.9	27.4
Social Studies						23.2	24.2	16.5		24.0	21.1		26.6	19.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading	28.6					26.4	28.3	11.5		28.9	20.5		29.0	23.4
Writing						36.8	41.6	7.7		41.3	26.8		47.4	27.5
Mathematics Science	21.4					28.6	31.0	13.5		31.8	22.6		30.0	27.4
Social Studies						29.1	31.2	15.2		32.6	21.6		27.7	29.7
	togo of	Ctudont		an Advo	nood	21.1	22.1	13.9		22.3	18.4		20.3	21.4
		Student	S SCOIII	ig Adva	ricea									
Reading	0.0					15.4	17.0	6.8		19.5	8.4		16.4	15.0
Writing Mathematics	7.1					4.5 21.2	4.3	4.6 12.2		5.4	2.1 13.2		7.4	1.6 21.6
Science	7.1 					21.2 11.8	22.2 12.9	12.2 6.3		25.0 14.5	13.2 7.0		20.1 8.6	21.6 15.0
Social Studies						16.1	18.5	5.1		22.3	7.0 5.9		14.1	18.8
						10.1	10.0	0.1		22.0	0.0		17.1	10.0

Your District's Students 2008-2009

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
2015	1.1%				1.1%	97.0%	33.0%		11.8%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

0.0

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your District	State
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	98.9	99.3
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	41.7	59.3
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	1.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0	98.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taughteachers with temporary conditional or long-term substitute certification/licen	it by Your District School	ph-Poverty Low-Poverty Schools Located our District*

^{-- =}Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure

^{*}High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

Schools in School Improvement



Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in SI for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

No Schools Identified for Improvement in District

Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2008

Measure	2007-08 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate	95.6 %	EMIS
Mean ACT Score	21	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT	48.9 %	ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	1048	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT	13.2 %	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	11.4 %	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	38	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	8.6 %	College Board, EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

EMIS - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education

Legend

ACT College Entrance Exam - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT college entrance test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit association that administers the SAT exam

AP - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),


often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov and search for key word "NAEP"

Determining Your District's Rating

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.



The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If a district does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation. Thus, the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- If your district's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your district experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your district experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your district's final designation will decrease by one designation.

			괴
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction
LAGOROTE	anu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent
		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective
		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

^{*}In all other cases, including if your district's designation has been restricted to Continuous Improvement, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov